Multiphoton switching dynamics of single green fluorescent proteins
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Multi-photon driven photo-switching between dark and bright (fluorescent) states of a green fluorescent protein (GFP) mutant is demonstrated. A single-protein investigation shows the existence of two distinct bright states that display sharp two-photon cross-section bands peaked at 780 nm and at 870 nm. Fluorescence of these two species can be independently switched on and off. These results highlight a new photoconversion pathway for photochromic GFPs and can have significant applications in multi-photon confocal microscopy and in optical data-storage architectures.
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Intrinsically fluorescent proteins triggered a revolution in molecular biology and allowed in-vivo monitoring of gene expression and protein function. Their discovery and diffusion also lead to a large effort aimed at understanding and exploiting their photophysical and photochemical properties down to the single-protein level. Among these optically-active biomolecules, the green fluorescent protein (GFP) of the Aequorea Victoria jellyfish has emerged in recent years as a unique fluorescent label with a vast impact on biological studies [1,2].

GFP is a fluorescent protein (238 amino acids) with a cylinder-shaped nanometric three-dimensional structure and the chromophore located in its center [3]. The chromophore consists of two consecutive rings, the phenol-type ring of amino-acid Tyr66 and a five-membered heterocycle (imidazolidinone) formed by Tyr66, Ser65, and Gly67. In the folded protein it is positioned close to several polar and aromatic residues. These and some water molecules establish a peculiar hydrogen-bond network around the chromophore that largely influences GFP photophysical properties. Single and multiple mutations involving the chromophore amino-acids and its local environment induce marked variations in GFP properties. This complexity still makes theoretical modeling a challenge and is stimulating significant experimental and simulation efforts [4,5].

Wild-type GFP exhibits two absorption bands with maxima at 395 and 480 nm. These are associated to a neutral (labeled state A) and anionic (labeled state B) forms of the chromophore, respectively [6,7]. In wild-type GFP and several mutants, efficient excited-state proton-transfer processes occur. These processes lead to population of an intermediate form (labeled I) in which an amino-acid in the chromophore environment (Glu222) is protonated [8,9]. Transitions from I to B are believed to be rare and associated to an additional displacement of amino-acid threonine at position 203 of the protein sequence [6,10]. These photoconversion pathways impact the fluorescence properties. In particular, following excitation of state A, both weak emission at around 440 nm and at 510 nm are observed. The former is associated to direct optical A-state recombination, the latter to optical recombination from state B or I. GFP fluorescence dynamics is also characterized by transitions between bright and dark (nonfluorescent) states which, at the single-protein level, lead to reversible fast turning on and off (blinking or flickering) and ultimately to switching off (photobleaching) of the emission, the latter process occurring typically after few seconds under intense excitation [11]. The molecular nature of these dark states and of the photoconversion pathways are open issues of great interest. This is particularly true for what concerns the dark state associated to photobleaching since this process sets a limit for the use of these biomolecules as fluorescent tags in optical imaging.

In recent years, some yellow-shifted GFP mutants were shown to recover their fluorescence properties after photobleaching upon blue-UV irradiation [12–15]. In one of these mutants, E2GFP (with mutations F64L, S65T and T203Y), some of us showed that it is possible to recover fluorescence emission in single proteins by relatively brief laser irradiation at 350 nm [13]. It was also shown that following the excitation of A or B bands E2GFP solutions displayed a characteristic absorption band at 365 nm [16]. While the molecular mechanisms of these reversible photoconversion processes still await a full clarification, ab-initio and molecular dynamics simulations were used to associate this behavior to a dark-state neutral configuration [16,17].

In this Letter we demonstrate controlled photo-switching of single E2GFP proteins under two-photon excitation and show an unexpectedly complex photoconversion pattern. The two-photon cross-section bands associated with A and B states are reported here for the first time and display a very narrow linewidth. This property allows us to selectively address and photoswitch proteins in state A or B.

Conversions between states A and B are shown to be rare. Surprisingly distinct recoverable dark states are found associated to optically-active A and B states. Single-protein experiments and the spectroscopic UV-shifted characteristics of the recovery spectra suggest that these dark states share the same protonation and have chromophore environments resembling those of the bright A and B states to which they are connected. After photobleaching, fluorescence can be recovered with high efficiency. The excitation spectrum leading to fluorescence reactivation is remarkably sharp and peaked at
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The experiments reported here exploit an inverted optical microscope equipped with a pulsed Ti-Sapphire laser yielding on-sample pulsewidth of 280 fs [18] and 80 MHz repetition rate. Bulk spectroscopic studies were performed in phosphate buffered saline solutions, while single-protein experiments were carried out with E2 GFP proteins trapped in silica gels. Wet silica gels allow the observation of immobile single proteins with no detectable influence on their folding. 10 µm x 10 µm images were acquired [19]: single-protein spots could be identified on the basis of their temporal dynamics and spectroscopic characteristics [20]. All experiments were performed at room temperature.

Figure 1(a) shows E2 GFP emission spectra in solution at pH = 7 after one-photon (filled circles) and two-photon (open circles) excitation at 400 nm and 780 nm, respectively. Both spectra show two main (and composite) emission bands, at around 440 nm and 530 nm, the former associated to A-state optical recombination. The presence of mutation T203Y in the amino-acid sequence of E2 GFP, leads to a red-shift in the main emission band (from 510 to 530 nm) compared to wt-GFP and EGFP [3].

Two-photon excitation (TPE) spectra were recorded with the insertion of three different filters in order to select (i) integrated emission (short-pass filter cutting wavelengths above 670 nm, Fig. 1(b)), (ii) emission from state A [filter centered at 440±40 nm, Fig. 1(c), black curve] or (iii) emission from state B [filter at 535±50 nm, Fig. 1(c), red curve]. Two well-separated and rather sharp bands can be identified centered at 780 nm and 870 nm whose relative amplitudes depend on the emission window selected. These values together with the spectral evolution as a function of pH (data not shown) support their assignment to A and B states, respectively. TPE and one-photon absorption spectra present marked qualitative differences. With respect to what might be expected by simply doubling the wavelength in one-photon experiments, TPE A and B spectra are blue shifted, much sharper and show a different relative intensity of the two bands. A similar behavior was previously reported for other mutants [21,22]. The presence of the 780 nm peak in the 535/50 nm emission spectrum in Fig. 1(c) and the absence of the 870 nm peak in the 440/40 nm spectrum could be due to different conversion efficiencies between the neutral and the anionic excited states [23]. The inset of Fig. 1(a) reports the fluorescence signal versus excitation power P at emission wavelength 440 nm (black circles, excitation at 780 nm) and 535 nm (red circles, excitation at 870 nm). As expected, in both cases the behavior is proportional to P²/(1 + (P/P sat)²), with P sat = 15±2 mW and P sat ≈ 50 mW for states A and B, respectively. The saturation excitation power of B, estimated by assuming a cross-section of 40 × 10⁻⁵⁸ m⁴ s (similar to that of EGFP at 870 nm) and using the measured E2 GFP fluorescence lifetime of 3.3 ns (data not shown) is 45 mW, in reasonable agreement with our experimental observation. State A is characterized by a two-photon emission wavelength 440 nm [Color filter at 535±50 nm], Fig. 1(c) and the ab-

FIG. 1. (Color) (a) Fluorescence emission spectra of E2 GFP in solutions (protein concentration 1 µM, pH=7) upon single-photon excitation at 400 nm (filled circles) and two-photon excitation at 780 nm (open circles). The inset reports the emission for the A state (red squares; excitation at 780 nm, emission filter 440/40), and for the state B (black squares; excitation at 870 nm, emission filter 535/50) versus incident power. Solid lines are best fits as described in the text. (b) Two-photon excitation spectra in solution. Fluorescence is observed through a short-pass IR filter below 670 nm. (c) Two-photon excitation spectra detected through a 440/40 (black) and 535/50 emission (red) filters. Solid lines represents the fit to a sum of Gaussians.

FIG. 2. (Color) Upper panels: (left) fluorescent image of single proteins excited in the A band (excitation at 780 nm emission at 440 nm); (right) the same field of view but on the B channel (excitation at 880 nm emission at 535 nm). Lower panels: (left) fluorescent image in the A channel after irradiation at 710 nm (2 mW and 50 ms); (right) the same field of view but on the B channel and after irradiation at 720 nm (2 mW and 50 ms). Color levels of the left panels are five times those in the right panels.
nm and 780 nm were observed for times shorter than the bleaching time ($T_B$). A detailed analysis will be reported elsewhere.

The single-protein emission spectrum obtained by averaging over about a hundred proteins with the insertion of the short-pass (670 nm) filter is very similar to that obtained with E2GFPs in solution [Fig. 1(b)]. Remarkably, however, when the same field of view is excited in the A (excitation at 780 nm, emission at 440 nm) or B bands (excitation at 870 nm, emission at 535 nm), different individual proteins are detected. An example is given in Fig. 2, upper panels. We never observed a single-protein spot in both A and B channels over a sample of 60 proteins. These results indicate that conversion between A and B (both in ground and excited states) is strongly inhibited in E2GFP [26]. This separation between A and B states is somewhat unexpected. In fact the observed in wild-type GFP version channel between A and I states similarly to what 1/

The independent photoswitching of A and B forms of E2GFP is the central result of this work. This unexpected observation was made possible by the narrow TPE spectra and is consistent with the limited conversion observed between the A and B forms. The similar characteristics of the two recovery spectra with the marked blue shift of the absorption with respect to the optically active forms suggest that photoswitching is accompanied by protonation of the chromophore. The configuration of the chromophore and of the surrounding residues in the dark state favors nonradiative decay pathways from the excited state, at the expense of the radiative decay [28]. Such pathways involve twisting around the bonds connecting the two rings of the chromophore and eventual crossing of a conical intersection. The dynamics through a conical intersection can lead either back to the original ground-state configuration or, arguably with smaller probability, to a different isomer, like in the cis/trans/cis photoisomerization. This should be the mechanism for on/off switching in the A state [16], and could then be the molecular basis for the photochromicity of both A and B [29]. The full separation of the two photoconversion processes are naturally ascribed to the chromophore environment. The latter would keep memory of the initial state in the photoswitching process. Different microscopic configurations can be linked to this behavior: recent molecular dynamics simulations, for instance, suggest the existence of two minimum-energy chromophore+environment configurations, characterized by different orientations of Thr65 side chain [30]. These calculations also indicate the presence of a large

FIG. 3. (a) Percentage of single-protein fluorescence recovery versus the illumination time at 720 nm for different excitation powers: 3.34 mW (solid circles), 4.34 mW (solid squares), 5 mW (open squares) and 5.7 mW (open circles). The solid lines are best fit to a sigmoidal function. (b) Recovery efficiency with laser excitation at 720 nm versus the product of the illumination time with excitation power: 3.34 mW (solid circles), 4.34 mW (solid squares), 5 mW (open squares) and 5.7 mW (open circles). The solid line is the best-fit power law with exponent 3.8±0.2. (c) Recovery efficiency at fixed illumination time of 16.5 ms versus the excitation wavelength at 5.7 mW (open circles), 4.34 mW (filled squares) and 3.34 mW (filled circles). The error bars are the statistical errors due to the number of events $n$ observed (100·$n$). The inset shows the photobleaching rate $1/T_B$ versus excitation power for the two states (A, open squares; B, filled squares).
energy barrier separating these two states. While one con-
figuration was observed in the X-ray structure of the B state
in the GFP mutant S65T [6], the anionic form of Glu222 in
the A state is expected to favor the other configuration. The
relatively high energy barrier between these states may cause
these two configurations to remain unaffected by photo-
switching leading to the observed memory effect. Altema-
tively, this effect could be associated with the recently ob-
served photo-decarboxylation of Glu222 [31]. The photo-
reaction leaves Glu222 with a methyl group in place of the
carboxyl, thus preventing the residue from acting as a proton
donor. In this view, the single E2 GFP proteins observed in
the B-state channel are those with decarboxylated—hence
neutral—Glu222, whereas those observed in the A-state
channel contain intact Glu222. Indeed the neutral chro-
mophore in the A state requires anionic Glu222. The precise
microscopic identification of the processes discovered in this
work, however, requires further theoretical work.

Recovery spectra are very narrow and their widths do not
change appreciably with the excitation power [Fig. 3(c)].
Maximum recovery efficiency occurs at 720 nm. This corre-
sponds to twice the peak wavelength of the spectrum of the
dark state obtained in single-photon experiments [16]. The
fourth-power dependence of the recovery shown in Fig. 3(b)
indicates that two two-photon transitions are required to
overcome the energy barrier from a dark state to the
optically-active form to which it is connected. The second
transition is likely to bring the system from the excited dark
state (or its relaxed form) to a higher excited level. Detuning
between the two transitions may cause the observed sharp
recovery spectrum. Vibrational-electronic couplings could
also contribute to reduce the width of the recovery spectrum
[32,33].

In conclusion, we demonstrated independent and highly
non-linear photoswitching processes for the A and B forms
of a GFP mutant. Our two-photon data provide new insight
into the complex photophysics of GFPs and show promising
directions for the exploitation of photochromic mutants and
TPE in the development of single-protein-based optical
memory arrays.
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