

Two-photon activation and excitation properties of PA-GFP in the 720-920 nm region.

Marc Schneider^{*}, Sara Barozzi[#], Ilaria Testa^{*,+}, Mario Faretta[#] and Alberto Diaspro^{*,0,+}

^{*} INFN Genoa, Dept. of Physics, Univ. of Genoa, Via Dodecaneso 33, I-16146 Genoa, Italy

⁰ IFOM, Istituto FIRC di Oncologia Molecolare, Via Adamello 16, 20139, Milan, Italy

[#] European Inst. of Oncology, Dept of Exp. Oncology, Via Ripamonti 435, 20141 Milan, Italy

⁺ LAMBS-MicroScoBio Res. Center, Univ. of Genoa, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146 Genoa, Italy

Corresponding author: Alberto Diaspro, LAMBS-MicroScoBio, Department of Physics, University of Genoa, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146 Genoa, Italy. facsimile +39-010314218 - voice +39-0103536426/480/309, e-mail: diaspro@fisica.unige.it, URL: <http://www.lambs.it>

Keywords: PA-GFP; two-photon activation; photo-activation spectrum; two-photon excitation microscopy.

Abstract

This report covers the two-photon activation and excitation properties of the PA-GFP, a photo-activatable variant of the *Aequorea Victoria* green fluorescent protein in the spectral region from 720 to 920 nm. It is known from this special form of the molecule, that it has an increased level of fluorescence emission when excited at 488 nm following irradiation at $\lambda \sim 413$ nm, under single-photon excitation conditions. Here, we show that upon two-photon irradiation, PA-GFP yields activation in the spectral region from 720 to 840 nm. Following photoactivation, the excitation spectrum shifts maintaining the very same emission spectrum of the single-photon case for the native and photoactivated protein. Additionally, when comparing the conventional photoactivation at $\lambda = 405$ nm with a two-photon one, a sharper and better controllable three-dimensional volume of activation is obtained.

Introduction

The use of green fluorescent protein (GFP) (1, 2) from *Aequorea Victoria* has increased dramatically over the years thanks to the possibility to explore the protein distribution in living cells (3). Besides imaging for protein localization, Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching (FRAP) techniques are largely employed today to characterize molecular kinetics properties such as diffusion and/or dissociation rates (4, 5). Particle tracking inside biological cells requires both the ability to spatially and temporally mark specific structures as well as the possibility of imaging at low background levels (3). PA-GFP (for photo and activable) can be used as such a marker in live cell imaging (6, 7).

Wild-type GFP exists as a mixture of neutral phenols and anionic phenolates, leading to a major absorption peak around 397 nm and a minor peak around 480 nm (6, 8, 9) (Brejc and others 1997; Patterson and Lippincott-Schwarz 2002; van Thor and others 2002). Site specific mutagenesis substituting threonine 203 with histidine successfully leads to an excellent photoconvertible molecule that produces a 100 fold increase in 488nm excited-fluorescence after irradiation with a high energy flux at 405 nm. Demonstration of PA-GFP photoactivation using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) has made it an optimal tool for studying the spatial and temporal dynamics of proteins in vivo. This has been recently shown in tracking lysosomes, mitochondria and chromatin loci (6, 10, 11). The use of two-photon excitation (TPE) could provide several favorable aspects in comparison to single photon confocal microscopy when photomarking biological structures that must be tracked (12, 13). The confinement of light-matter interaction due to TPE photophysics provides unique control during the excitation and photoactivation process in a three-dimensional (3D) space (14, 15). Even if single photon CLSM can efficiently modulate excitation power in a planar sub-micron region, it fails to elicit the same control along the optical axis, as the excitation volume is extended along the entire depth of focus of the objective (16).

Compared to single photon absorption, the TPE process shows a reduced Rayleigh scattering due to smaller frequencies (ν^4 -dependence) (17). Moreover, it yields a better signal-to-noise ratio and suffers smaller absorption losses (14). These features are particularly relevant when working with thick samples and especially when cell viability plays an important role. The

ability to mark specific cells in living embryos by photoactivating biomolecular markers that allow cell viability can provide a unique tool in developmental biology studies that help in understanding cell fate and differentiation mechanisms (11).

Effort is consequently required to characterize the photophysical properties of biomolecules under TPE or multiphoton excitation. In fact, the rule of thumb of doubling the optimal single-photon excitation wavelength provides only a rough estimate about the behavior of fluorophores under TPE (18).

Fluorescent proteins exhibit unexpected properties that can be employed to efficiently mark cells or sub-cellular structures: DsRed, a red fluorescent protein cloned from tropical corals, shows, under three photon excitation, an emission shift from red to green when subsequently observed under conventional excitation (19). GFP can be photoconverted by 488 nm excitation under low oxygen conditions (20), providing an efficient optical highlighter to mark subcellular structures. However, the different two-photon excitation spectra available for GFP wild-type and mutated variants suggests that a wide range of wavelengths can be employed to efficiently perform imaging and photoactivation: EGFP reaches a maximum at around $\lambda = 920$ nm, wild-type GFP around 800 nm and GFPS65T a broad plateau for wavelength higher than 900 nm (21).

With our current studies, the photophysical properties of PA-GFP under TPE have been investigated to demonstrate the possibility to employ TPE microscopy in combination with photoactivatable markers in protein dynamic studies.

PA-GFP exhibited the same photoconversion properties when irradiated in the TPE regime in comparison with photoactivation at 405 nm: an intense irradiation can induce an increase in absorption cross section at 488 nm and a consequently improved fluorescence emission .

This effect can be efficiently employed to mark submicron regions in well defined 3D regions within the sample. Examination of the activated spatial volume, a function of the excitation energy, showed that intensity modulation can be efficiently used to induce spatially controlled protein photoconversion along the optical axis, providing a unique possibility to dynamically identify single 3D structures.

Materials & Methods:

Optical setup

Ti:sapphire laser sources, Tsunami 3960 (Spectra Physics, Mountain View, CA) and Chameleon-XR (Coherent, Santa Clara, CA) were used. Pulse-widths lie within the range of 100-140 fs full-width at half maximum (FWHM) at a repetition frequency of 80 MHz and 90 MHz respectively, at the laser output window. The laser beam was coupled directly into the scanning head of a Leica TCS SP2 AOBS confocal microscope using an infrared port. The measurements were taken using an average power of $\langle P \rangle_{\min} \sim 2.5$ mW up to $\langle P \rangle_{\max} = 12.5$ mW at the focal plane. Single photon photoconversion was performed using a Leica TCS SP2 AOBS equipped with a 405 nm 10mW laser diode. Imaging of the activated protein was obtained using the 488 nm line of a 20 mW Argon ion laser.

Sample preparation

Cell culture and transfection

Phoenix and HeLa cells were grown on cover slips under standard culture conditions at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ in a DMEM medium supplemented with 10% North American Fetal Bovine Serum (Gibco Europe, Paisley, UK).

The PA-GFP was a generous gift from Dr George Patterson. HeLa transient transfection was performed using a FuGene (Boehringer-Ingelheim Italia S.p.A., Milan, Italy) reagent according to manufacturer instructions. Cells were harvested and fixed after 48 hours. Before mounting, cover slips were stained with the DNA dye TOPRO 3 (Molecular Probes Europe, Leiden, Netherlands) to facilitate cell identification.

Protein Immunoprecipitation

Phoenix cells were transfected with PA-GFP encoding DNA using Calcium Phosphate. After 24 hours, cells were lysed in a cold 1X PLC buffer (50mM HEPES, 1.25% glycerol, 150mM NaCl, 166mM MgCl₂, 1% TRITONX-100, 0.001% EGTA, 10mM Napyruvate, 0.1 mM NaOrtovanadate, 0.01 mM PMSF, Aprotinin, pepstatin and leupeptin) on ice for 1 hour. Cell

lysates were incubated with an anti GFP polyclonal antibody and subsequently with ProteinA sepharose beads. Proteins immobilized on the surface of the beads were finally crosslinked with 4% Paraformaldehyde, in case of fixed samples. For imaging, beads were resuspended in a 90% glycerol solution that contained diazabicyclo-(2.2.2) octane antifade (Sigma-Aldrich S.r.l., Milan, Italy).

The variation of the activation in dependence on the surface position of the spheres was found to be 10%. Therefore several areas covering the whole sphere were activated and the intensities were compared (data not shown).

Data acquisition and imaging

Images were collected using a 100x oil NA = 1.4 objective HCX PL APO (Leica Microsystems S.p.A., Milan, Italy).

GFP was initially excited with $\lambda = 488$ nm to evaluate the pre-activation intensity. The activation process was then primed by focusing a pulsed infrared laser beam on a $4.69 \times 4.69 \mu\text{m}^2$ region (512 x 512 pixel). The scanning process on this area lasted 1.28 s and the dwell time per pixel was $\sim 5 \mu\text{s}$. Subsequently, the unzoomed area was imaged using the acquisition parameters that were used prior to the activation process. The photoconversion processes were all performed with the same average power of the incident IR-beam. The image before and after activation were analyzed to determine the related mean intensity values. After spectral evaluation (data not shown), made possible by the spectral characteristics of the Leica SP2 AOBS scanning head, the spectral window used for collecting fluorescence was 500-600 nm accordingly to early reported emission spectra (6).

The modified spheres were sandwiched between an ethanol/acetone cleaned cover slip and a glass slide. To avoid drying, the sample was sealed with ordinary nail polish. This sandwiching technique produces squeezed spheres, so the protein modified surface was flat and no longer curved at the interface. We could not observe any influence on the molecular behavior as a result from contact with the glass surface.

Two images were evaluated in order to determine the wavelength dependence activation: one before and one after the TPE photoconversion process. The ratio of mean fluorescence intensities of the activated area before and after activation was used to measure efficiency.

For the two-photon excitation spectrum the fluorescence intensity was collected as function of the excitation wavelength, keeping constant all the acquisition parameters. Care was taken to keep the probability to absorb two photons constant when changing the wavelength

$$(n_a \propto \frac{\delta_2 \cdot P_{ave}^2}{\tau_p f_p^2} \cdot \left(\frac{NA^2}{2\hbar c \lambda} \right)^2) \quad (12).$$

For the excitation spectrum of the photoconverted PA-GFP molecules the background coming from the sphere was subtracted.

Results and discussion.

The ability to induce fluorescence emission using two photon excitation in PA-GFP expressing cells was initially verified. Therefore, we imaged transfected cells at different energies, extracting from related images the average intensities in selected areas. Imaging was performed under TPE at $\lambda = 750$ nm. Even though the overall intensity of the PA-GFP was weak, the cells could be tracked and regions of interest (ROI) could be selected due to the fact that the cell nuclei were stained with a DNA-specific dye (Topro 3) that showed a strong fluorescence signal due to two-photon excitation. In Figure 1, the relationship between the excitation energy squared and the resulting mean intensity is depicted for PA-GFP (the nuclear dye Topro 3 was used as a counterstain and TPE data is shown in the inset of Figure 1). Experimental data clearly exhibits a linear dependence ($R = 0.999$) of the fluorescence intensity on the squared excitation energy, typical of a TPE process.

Further, indirect proof of two-photon induced emission is the thickness of the photo activated volumes. The 3D spatially restricted activation is part of the proof that the activation process is based on TPE processes. Due to the locally restricted, non-linear excitation probability, activated volumes of finite thickness were expected in dependence of the provided laser light intensity. Whereas single photon induced activation should extend along the whole beam path of the light in the sample. A comparison between one-photon ($\lambda = 405$ nm) and two-photon

activation process is shown in Figure 2. The thickness of the two-photon activated volume is limited to a narrow region inside the cell, whereas in a fully activated cell, one photon activation results in an axial direction (Figure 2c). In the two-photon irradiation, activation volume can be precisely tuned by varying the energy of the incoming laser beam, as depicted in Figure 3. Several areas (performing a scan in the focal plane) were activated using different laser beam energies. The intensity profiles of these areas were plotted along the optical axis. Irradiation with an average laser power of 5 mW and 10 mW caused an increase in the thickness of the activated area. The change in the activated volume conforms with the expectation based upon the change in the intensity distribution $I(z)$ of the incident laser light energy I_0 (17)

$$I(z) \sim I_0 \left[1 + \left(\frac{z}{z_R} \right)^2 \right]^{-1} \quad 0.1$$

where z is the distance from the focal plane and z_R equals

$$z_R = 1.169 \frac{n \lambda_{ex}}{NA^2} \quad 0.2$$

(n is the refractive index of the specimen, λ_{ex} is the excitation wavelength and NA is the numerical aperture of the objective). Using the excitation wavelength, the numerical aperture and the refractive index of the sample, it is possible to predict an arbitrary intensity value in dependence on the distance from the focal plane. With $\lambda_{ex} = 750$ nm, $NA = 1.4$, $n = 1.518$ and with an excitation power of 10 mW, an intensity value of ~ 0.36 at a distance of $3.5 \mu\text{m}$ can be estimated. This intensity value represents the edge between activated and un-activated volumes and can therefore be considered as the activation threshold. The intensity value will be reached at different distances from the focal plane for different laser powers. Using this value, the approximate extension of the activation volume in the z -direction can be calculated. Using 5 mW as the activation power, the intensity threshold value is obtained at a distance of $z = z_5 = 2.4 \mu\text{m}$ from the focal plane. This value conforms well with the one extracted from the measurement ($z_{ROI2} = 2.35 \mu\text{m}$). These intensity values are arbitrary and the calculations were based on the energy values measured before the laser beam was coupled into the scanning head. This should be pointed out again in order to avoid misunderstandings about

the values provided in the previous section. Furthermore, the agreement between the calculated and the measured values potentiates the conclusion that saturation effects do not play a major role. Hence, the small differences could be attributed to weak saturation in the beam centre (22).

In addition, the dwell time for each pixel also has an influence (7). Activating two areas with laser energy 1.5 times higher, but with a reduced repetition rate, results in slightly different activated volumes (ROI 2 & ROI 3) and intensities. Especially at the edges of the activation voxel, it is more probable that the conditions for two-photon absorption will not be fulfilled. Fewer activated molecules per scan are the result. This effect will add up for consecutive activation scans.

High energy 405 nm irradiation caused PA-GFP photoconversion, leading to a dramatic decrease in the absorption cross-section at this wavelength (Figure 4). HeLa Cells expressing PA-GFP were imaged using a laser diode at 405 nm at low intensity (15% of the maximum power) (a). 488 nm excitation produced a very dim signal (b) (acquisition settings were chosen to avoid signal saturation after activation (d)). Intense irradiation of a selected region at 405 nm caused the expected shift in the spectral properties, showing reduced absorption at 405 nm (c) and enhanced excitation and emission (d). Two-photon activation showed the same phenomena abolishing two-photon imaging after activation of the activated areas (Figure 5a,b).

The point mutation used in the production of PA-GFP caused an almost complete depletion of the wild-type GFP absorption properties around 490 nm, while keeping the excitation spectra essentially unaltered in the near-UV region. Photoconversion leads to a change in the excitation that made the activated PA-GFP more similar to the EGFP version. Consequently, these photophysical properties were analyzed when excitation stemmed from a non-linear absorption process. Analysis of the excitation properties of the non-activated form showed an increasing fluorescence from 720 nm to 800 nm as known for wild-type GFP (23). Surprisingly, a drop in the fluorescence intensity occurred, followed by a sharp rise at $\lambda = 820$ nm, only to fade off at higher wavelengths (Figure 6a). This finding seems to be supported by recently published data on two-photon excitation of single E²GFP molecules,

another photo switchable GFP mutant (24). Two clearly separated absorption bands for two-photon absorption at $\lambda = 780$ nm and $\lambda = 870$ nm were also found. These absorption peaks are attributed to an anionic and a neutral state of the molecule (24, 25, 26).

Overall, the cross section for the two-photon absorption corresponds to the known cross section of wild-type GFP. Fluorescence vanishes in the activated areas when irradiating in the 720-840 nm spectral range (see also Figure 5b).

For higher excitation wavelengths (≥ 850 nm), the photoactivated protein can be excited showing a stronger fluorescence than the surrounding area containing a native protein (Figure 6a,b). The activation process switches the excitation spectrum of PA-GFP from wild-type GFP to that of EGFP (Figure 6a) (21, 23).

Moreover, the photoactivation efficiency as function on the activation wavelength was investigated. Activation wavelengths between 720 and 840 nm possess the ability to activate PA-GFP molecules. Above 820 nm, this ability starts to decrease, showing a cut off after 840 nm (Figure 7). For wavelengths in the region 720-775 nm, the activation behavior does not overlap completely with the two-photon excitation spectrum reported in Figure 6a. For those wavelengths the activation efficiency is higher and shows only small variations. This difference between the excitation spectrum (Fig.6a) and the photoconversion efficiency (Fig.7) could be explained with two different approaches.

As a first approach, one should consider the two-photon fluorescence action cross section, δ_f , of the chromophore, defined as the product of the absorbance cross section of the molecule, δ_a , and the two-photon quantum efficiency, Q_{2f} , $\delta_f = Q_{2f} \cdot \delta_a$. For the photoswitching process a similar action cross section can be defined $\delta_{sw} = Q_{sw2} \cdot \delta_a$. δ_{sw} is the product of the two-photon absorption cross section, δ_a , and the switching quantum efficiency Q_{sw2} . In our experiments δ_f is accessible as the fluorescence intensity in dependence on the wavelength (720-920 nm) and δ_{sw} is represented by the fluorescence intensity obtained by one-photon excitation (488 nm) after the photoactivation process initiated under TPE regime. For both processes the absorption cross sections δ_a should be equal. Taking into account the two

mechanisms, fluorescence emission and photoconversion, which includes a decarboxylation and molecular rearrangements (6, 9) it seems reasonable to assume different quantum efficiencies for the two processes. This could lead to the observed discrepancy between the excitation spectrum and the photoactivation spectrum. For other dye molecules such different behavior was already observed (27).

A second approach could be related to the possible absorption of the background or better of the surrounding GFP protein (β -barrel). It was already shown for $\lambda = 800$ nm, that this effect can have a tremendous influence (28). For the decarboxylation and the formation of the anionic GFP fluorophore it seems not to be necessary that the energy is exclusively absorbed by the chromophore itself. One should keep in mind, that the energy needed to induce the decarboxylation and to form the anionic form of the chromophore (~ 1 kJ/mol (van Thor and others 2002)) is low when compared to the amount deposited by the absorption of one or two photons in the molecule. Competition of the two effects could weaken or even increase themselves.

However, from our data we could not gain a clear insight in the effects determining the photoswitching and the difference between the action cross section of two-photon induced fluorescence and the two-photon induced photoconversion.

Conclusion.

We have shown that the photoswitchable variant of GFP, which is typically activated with high intensity light at $\lambda = 413$ nm, can be activated by two-photon absorption as well. The spectral region used for checking two-photon activation and excitation ranged from 720 to 920 nm. Photoactivation under a two-photon regime was reported for the first time in the region from 720 to 780 nm. Moreover, it was achieved until 840 nm. For higher wavelengths (> 840 nm), the two-photon activation and excitation efficiencies drop toward small values. Additionally, the well confined activation volume available via two-photon processes offers a wide variety of possible applications from prolonged intracellular diffusion studies to opto-electronic data storage.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Silke Krol, Donna-Arndt Jovin and Atsushi Miyawaki for interesting and stimulating discussions, and to Raffaella Magrassi, Dario Parazzoli, Paolo Bianchini and Giuseppe Vicidomini for their technical help. Prof. Patterson is warmly acknowledged for providing the PA-GFP molecules. This study was partly supported by the EU Project HPRN-CT-2000-00159, by the COFIN-MIUR project 2004–2005, by IFOM (FIRC Foundation for Molecular Oncology) and INFN (National Institute for the Physics of the Matter). AD dedicates this study to the memory of Gianfranco Menestrina (1954-2004).

References

- (1) Tsien RY. 1998. THE GREEN FLUORESCENT PROTEIN. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 67(1):509-544.
- (2) Zimmer M. 2002. Green Fluorescence Protein (GFP): Applications, Structure, and Related Photophysical Behavior. *Chemical Reviews* 102(3):759-781.
- (3) Lippincott-Schwartz J, Altan-Bonnet N, Patterson GH. 2003. Photobleaching and photoactivation: following protein dynamics in living cells. *Nat Cell Biol Suppl*:S7-14.
- (4) Phair RD, Gorski SA, Misteli T. 2004. Measurement of dynamic protein binding to chromatin in vivo, using photobleaching microscopy. *Methods Enzymol* 375:393-414.
- (5) Stavreva DA, McNally JG. 2004. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) methods for visualizing protein dynamics in living mammalian cell nuclei. *Methods Enzymol* 375:443-55.
- (6) Patterson GH, Lippincott-Schwartz J. 2002. A Photoactivatable GFP for Selective Photolabeling of Proteins and Cells. *Science* 297:1873-1877.
- (7) Patterson GH, Lippincott-Schwartz J. 2004. Selective photolabeling of proteins using photo activatable GFP. *Methods* 32(4):445-450.
- (8) Brejc K, Sixma TK, Kitts PA, Kain SR, Tsien RY, Ormo M, Remington SJ. 1997. Structural basis for dual excitation and photoisomerization of the *Aequorea victoria* green fluorescent protein. *PNAS* 94(6):2306-2311.
- (9) van Thor JJ, Gensch T, Hellingwerf KJ, Johnson LN. 2002. Phototransformation of green fluorescent protein with UV and visible light leads to decarboxylation of glutamate 222. *Nature Structural Biology* 9(1):37-41.
- (10) Karbowski M, Arnoult D, Chen H, Chan DC, Smith CL, Youle RJ. 2004. Quantitation of mitochondrial dynamics by photolabeling of individual organelles shows that

- mitochondrial fusion is blocked during the Bax activation phase of apoptosis. *J Cell Biol* 164(4):493-9.
- (11) Post JN, Lidke KA, Rieger B, Arndt-Jovin DJ. 2005. Photoactivation of PAGFP in live *Drosophila* embryos: two-photon activation and phototoxicity. *FEBS Letters* 579: 325-330.
- (12) Denk W, Strickler JH, Webb WW. 1990. 2-Photon Laser Scanning Fluorescence Microscopy. *Science* 248(4951):73-76.
- (13) Diaspro A, editor. 2002. *Confocal and Two-Photon Microscopy: Foundations, Applications, and Advances*. New York: Wiley-Liss.
- (14) Centonze VE, White JG. 1998. Multiphoton Excitation Provides Optical Sections from Deeper within Scattering Specimens than Confocal Imaging. *Biophys. J.* 75(4):2015-2024.
- (15) Diaspro A , Robello M. (2000) Two-photon excitation of fluorescence for three-dimensional optical imaging of biological structures. *J Photochem. Photobiol. B* 55, 1-8.(16) Bianco B, Diaspro A. 1989. Analysis of the three-dimensional cell imaging obtained with optical microscopy techniques based on defocusing. *Cell Biophys.* 15, 189-200.
- (17) Stelzer EHK, Hell S, Lindek S, Stricker R, Pick R, Storz C, Ritter G, Salmon N. 1994. Nonlinear absorption extends confocal fluorescence microscopy into the ultra-violet regime and confines the illumination volume. *Optics Communications* 104(4-6):223-228.
- (18) Xu C, Williams RM, Zipfel W, Webb WW. 1996a. Multiphoton excitation cross-sections of molecular fluorophores. *Bioimaging* 4:198-207.
- (19) Marchant JS, Stutzmann GE, Leissring MA, LaFerla FM, Parker I. 2001. Multiphoton-evoked color change of DsRed as an optical highlighter for cellular and subcellular labeling. *Nat Biotechnol* 19(7):645-9.

- (20) Jakobs S, Schauss AC, Hell SW. 2003. Photoconversion of matrix targeted GFP enables analysis of continuity and intermixing of the mitochondrial lumen. *FEBS Lett* 554(1-2):194-200.
- (21) Xu C. 2002. Cross-Sections of Fluorescence Molecules in Multiphoton Microscopy. In: Diaspro A, editor. *Two-Photon-Excitation Microscopy: Foundations, Applications, and Advances*. 1st ed. New York: Wiley-Liss. p 75-99.
- (22) Cianci GC, Wu J, Berland K. 2004. Saturation Modified Point Spread Functions in Two-Photon Microscopy. *Microscopy Research and Technique* 64(2):135-141.
- (23) Xu C, Zipfel W, Shear JB, Williams RM, Webb WW. 1996b. Multiphoton fluorescence excitation: New spectral windows for biological nonlinear microscopy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 93(20):10763-10768.
- (24) Chirico G, Cannone F, Diaspro A, Bologna S, Pellegrini V, Nifosi R, Beltram F. 2004. Multiphoton switching dynamics of single green fluorescent proteins. *Physical Review E (Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics)* 70(3):030901-4.
- (25) Blab GA, Lommerse PHM, Cognet L, Harms GS, Schmidt T. 2001. Two-photon excitation action cross-sections of the autofluorescent proteins. *Chemical Physics Letters* 350(1-2):71-77.
- (26) Kummer AD, Kompa C, Lossau H, Pollinger-Dammer F, Michel-Beyerle ME, Silva CM, Bylina EJ, Coleman WJ, Yang MM, Youvan DC. 1998. Dramatic reduction in fluorescence quantum yield in mutants of Green Fluorescent Protein due to fast internal conversion. *Chemical Physics* 237(1-2):183-193.
- (27) Furuta T, Wang SSH, Dantzker JL, Dore TM, Bybee WJ, Callaway EM, Denk W, Tsien RY. 1999. Brominated 7-hydroxycoumarin-4-ylmethyls: Photolabile protecting groups with biologically useful cross-sections for two photon photolysis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 96(4):1193-1200.

(28) Kirkpatrick SM, Naik RR, Stone MO. 2001. Nonlinear saturation and determination of the two-photon absorption cross section of green fluorescent protein. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 105(14):2867-2873.

ROI	scan time per pixel [μ s]	activation power [mW]	FWHM _{I(z)} [μ m]
1	19.6	5	2.35
3	4.9	17	3
2	9.8	10	3.5

Table 1: Extension in the z-direction of the activated areas in dependence of the energy and the time per pixel used for the activation process. The power values given correspond to the laser power before entering the scanning head of the microscope.

Figure legends:

Figure 1: The graph depicts the relationship between the excitation energy and the fluorescence intensity of the PA-GFP protein produced by cells. The inset shows the dependence of the DNA marker Topro 3. Both fluorescence intensities show a linear dependence on the excitation energy squared. This reveals the underlying two-photon absorption process for the two dyes. The absorption energy is measured before the laser light was coupled into the scanning head of the microscope.

Figure 2: a) Image taken along the xz-plane showing the slight fluorescence from the cell as well as the two-photon activated volume (25 mW, 2 averaging lines, $\lambda = 750$ nm). The volume of the cell is surrounded by a white dotted line to highlight its shape. It can be clearly seen that the two-photon induced activation process is restricted to a finite volume and does not activate the whole cell. The extension in the z-direction of the activated volume is $\sim 3\mu\text{m}$. For comparison, cells are depicted in the xz-plane with $\lambda = 405$ nm b). Activation was performed with intensive laser light at $\lambda = 405$ nm. In c) an xz-micrograph after excitation at $\lambda = 488$ nm of the same cells is shown. It can be seen that the whole cell is activated in the z-direction ($\sim 10\mu\text{m}$) and the non-activated areas are barely visible.

Figure 3: Overview image of a fixed cell expressing PA-GFP. Several areas were excited and the intensity in the z-direction is depicted in table 1. ROI 1 covers an area activated with $P = 5$ mW (before coupling into the scanning head, $\cong 2.5$ mW at the focal plane for this optical setup) with 4 averaging lines. The resulting thickness of the activated area is $d_{\text{ROI1}} \sim 2.35\mu\text{m}$. ROI 2 was activated with ~ 10 mW, 2 averaging lines and ROI 3 had the same energy but was not repeatedly scanned. The thickness of the activated volume increases with the laser light energy and is also affected by the number of activation cycles ($d_{\text{ROI2}} \sim 3.5\mu\text{m}$ and $d_{\text{ROI3}} \sim 3.0\mu\text{m}$).

Figure 4: PA-GFP photoactivation using single photon excitation. HeLa Cells expressing PA-GFP were imaged using a laser diode at 405 nm at low intensity (15% of the maximum power) (A). Before activation, PA-GFP expressing cells showed very low intensity when excited at 488 nm (B). Intense irradiation of a selected region at 405 nm caused the expected shift in the spectral properties, showing reduced absorption at 405 nm (C) and enhanced excitation and emission at 488 nm (D).

Figure 5: a) Fluorescence micrograph of a PA-GFP modified sphere surface after a activation cycle with two-photons at different wavelength. The sample was irradiated with 488 nm. The increased fluorescence intensity of several areas due to the activation can be clearly seen. Imaging the same area using two-photon induced fluorescence processes at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 750 \text{ nm}$, we obtained an image where the activated regions are non excitable and therefore do not yield fluorescence (b).

Figure 6: a) Fluorescence intensity after two-photon excitation in dependence of the excitation wavelength. The black spots represent the fluorescence intensity from the non activated protein. The dotted line through these data points is a visual guide and represents the moving average of the data (For this reason the peak around 820 nm is not highlighted). The triangular points represent the fluorescence intensity of the activated areas on the sphere. Again, as a visual guide, the dashed line resulting from the moving average is inserted. Error values represent standard deviation. For clarity, the λ_{error} is not displayed. The uncertainty of the wavelength is $\pm 5 \text{ nm}$. The activated areas on the surface of the PA-GFP modified sphere show fluorescence light emission at higher wavelength. For excitation with $\lambda = 900 \text{ nm}$, the background fluorescence of the non-activated area is small (in the highlighted area) and the fluorescence of the previously activated areas is clearly visible (b). The fluorescence intensity data of the activated areas in (a) is corrected due to the individual background fluorescence of the sphere at the relevant wavelength.

Figure 7: Dependence of the activation of PA-GFP on the wavelength used for irradiation as measured on unfixed fresh specimens. The original data was the ratio between the mean fluorescence intensity before and after activation of a defined area of the specimen. The displayed data were normalized to the value obtained at 750 nm. This was done for each independent measurement before combining them to the shown spectrum. The error bars represent the standard error for the distribution of the mean values which were obtained from several independent measurements. Another intrinsic error is due to the concentration differences of PA-GFP which was found to be 10%. The uncertainty of the wavelength is ± 5 nm.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 2 (continued)




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 5 (continued)




Figure 6





Figure 7

